IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATUE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 36 OF 2006
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. OF 2006
IN
SUIT NO. 1112 OF 2006

NEERAJ Y. GOYAL & ANR. ..PETITIONERS
V/S
VARSHRAJ EXPORTS PVT. LTD.
& ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

HARISH PANDYA I/W ADITYA KHANNA I/B KHAITAN & CO.
FOR THE PETITIONERS.
SATISH MANE SHINDE I/B N.S. NAPPINAI & JAYASHIR
I.M. FOR RESPONDENTS.

CORAM : S.K. SHAH, J.
DATE : 29TH SEPT.2006.

P.C.

1. Heard the learned counsel on both sides. The contempt is alleged of the order passed on 5th April, 2006.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that the Petitioners who were the plaintiffs in the suit were prevented from entering the premises on 6th AprIL, 2006 and even subsequently and were also prevented from entering the cabin of their respective premises.

3. The learned counsel for the Respondent submits that on 6th April 2006 the office was closed and thereafter the petitioners were prevented from entering their cabin as a result of the petitioners having misappropriated the property of Rs. 5 crores and even criminal proceeding was filed against the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance about the misappropriation and criminal proceedings are false, as that arose subsequently. However, we need not go into that aspect. The Respondents were justified in preventing the petitioners to enter their cabin to protect their property.

4. Under the circumstances I do not find any merit in the contempt petition. The contempt petition stands disposed of.

(S.K. SHAH, J.)